



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,537	04/08/2004	Sudhir V. Shah	2629.1003-011	8841

21005 7590 12/22/2004

HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.
530 VIRGINIA ROAD
P.O. BOX 9133
CONCORD, MA 01742-9133

EXAMINER

WINSTON, RANDALL O

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1654

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/820,537		SHAH, SUDHIR V.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Randall Winston		1654	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Randall Winston and Susan Coe. (3) N. Scott Pierce.
 (2) Mary K. Murray. (4) Sudhir V. Shar.

Date of Interview: 14 December 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all, in general.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative has stated that the 112 1st written description rejection should be dropped because applicant presented arguments that the specification described the claimed invention of treating microalbuminuria in a human in detail to convey to one skilled in the art that applicant had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant cited portions of the specification and Fed. Register guidelines in support of the arguments. Also, applicant's representative has stated that the 112, 1st enablement rejection should be dropped because they have reasonably demonstrated on page 4, lines 21-16, page 5, lines 11-14 and page 25, line 23 that describes a method of treating microalbuminuria by administering an effective amount of an iron chelator and guidance is provided in the specification to enable one of skill in the art to make and use the invention. Applicant has also presented additional data (Exhibit A) relating to the demonstrated effect of administering an iron chelator to a patient that has microalbuminuria by the method of the invention as claimed. Primary Examiner Coe and Examiner Winston have agreed to drop the 112 1st written description rejection as well as the 112, 1st enablement rejection. Applicant's representative will provide examiner with a list of iron chelators to help with an additional search by examiner.